

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP  
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)  
(tkeller@kbkllp.com)  
Peter J. Benvenutti (#60566)  
(pbenvenutti@kbkllp.com)  
Jane Kim (#298192)  
(jkim@kbkllp.com)  
650 California Street, Suite 1900  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
Tel: 415 496 6723  
Fax: 650 636 9251

## *Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION.

- and -

# PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY.

## Debtors.

- Affects PG&E Corporation
- Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company
- Affects both Debtors

\* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).

**Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)**

Chapter 11

**(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)**

## **REORGANIZED DEBTORS' FORTIETH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY / PASSTHROUGH CLAIMS)**

**Response Deadline:**  
**December 1, 2020, 4:00 p.m. (PT)**

#### **Hearing Information If Timely Response Made:**

Date: December 15, 2020

Date: December 15, 2023

Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only)  
United States Bankruptcy Court  
Courtroom 17, 16th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102

1 TO: (A) THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY  
2 JUDGE; (B) THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; (C) THE AFFECTED  
2 CLAIMANTS; AND (D) OTHER PARTIES ENTITLED TO NOTICE:

3 PG&E Corporation (“**PG&E Corp.**”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “**Utility**”), as  
4 debtors and reorganized debtors (collectively, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**” or as reorganized pursuant to  
5 the Plan (as defined below), the “**Reorganized Debtors**”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the  
6 “**Chapter 11 Cases**”) hereby submit this fortieth omnibus objection (the “**Objection**”) to the Proofs of  
7 Claim (as defined below) identified in the column headed “Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged” on  
8 Exhibit 1 annexed hereto.

9 **I. JURISDICTION**

10 This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; the *Order*  
11 *Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.); and  
12 Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern  
13 District of California (the “**Bankruptcy Local Rules**”). This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28  
14 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The  
15 statutory predicates for the relief requested are section 502 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the  
16 “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (collectively, the  
17 “**Bankruptcy Rules**”).

18 **II. BACKGROUND**

19 On January 29, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary  
20 cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Prior to the Effective Date (as defined below), the  
21 Debtors continued to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession  
22 pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner was appointed  
23 in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural  
24 purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

25 Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter  
26 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors’ businesses and capital structure is set forth in the  
27 *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket  
28 No. 263].

1       On July 1, 2019, the Court entered the *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b)(9) and 105(a),*  
2 *Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 3003(c)(3), 5005, and 9007, and L.B.R. 3003-1 (I) Establishing Deadline for*  
3 *Filing Proofs of Claim, (II) Establishing the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, and (III) Approving*  
4 *Procedures for Providing Notice of Bar Date and Other Information to All Creditors and Potential*  
5 *Creditors* [Docket No. 2806] (the “**Bar Date Order**”). The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file all  
6 proofs of claim (each, a “**Proof of Claim**”) in respect of any prepetition claim (as defined in section  
7 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code), including all claims of Fire Claimants (as defined therein), Wildfire  
8 Subrogation Claimants (as defined therein), Governmental Units (as defined in section 101(27) of the  
9 Bankruptcy Code), and Customers, and for the avoidance of doubt, including all secured claims and  
10 priority claims, against either of the Debtors as October 21, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Time (the “**Bar**  
11 **Date**”). The Bar Date later was extended solely with respect to unfiled, non-governmental Fire  
12 Claimants to December 31, 2019 [Docket No. 4672]<sup>1</sup>; and subsequently with respect to certain claimants  
13 that purchased or acquired the Debtors’ publicly held debt and equity securities and may have claims  
14 against the Debtors for rescission or damages to April 16, 2020 [Docket No. 5943].

15       By Order dated June 20, 2020 [Dkt. No. 8053], the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the *Debtors'*  
16 *and Shareholder Proponents' Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated June 19, 2020* (as may be  
17 further modified, amended or supplemented from time to time, and together with any exhibits or  
18 scheduled thereto, the “**Plan**”). The Effective Date of the Plan occurred on July 1, 2020 (the “**Effective**  
19 **Date**”). See Dkt. No. 8252.

20 **III. RELIEF REQUESTED**

21       The Reorganized Debtors file this Objection, pursuant to section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code,  
22 Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(5), Bankruptcy Local Rule 3007-1, and the *Order Approving (A) Procedures*  
23 *for Filing Omnibus Objections to Claims and (B) the Form and Manner of the Notice of Omnibus*  
24 *Objections*, dated June 30, 2020 [Docket No. 8228] (the “**Omnibus Objections Procedures Order**”),  
25 seeking entry of an order disallowing and/or expunging Proofs of Claim for which the Reorganized  
26 Debtors are not liable (the “**No Liability / Passthrough Claims**”). After reviewing their books and

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> The claims of Fire Claimants will be administered through the Fire Victim Trust and the claims of Wildfire Subrogation Claimants through the Subrogation Wildfire Trust in accordance with the Plan.

1 records and the limited information submitted with the Proofs of Claim, the Reorganized Debtors have  
2 determined that each of the No Liability / Passthrough Claims either (i) asserts a Claim seeking payment  
3 of amounts for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable and/or the Reorganized Debtors are unable  
4 to determine any liability or basis for the asserted Claims, or (ii) seeks payment of amounts that arose  
5 after the Petition Date that are not due and payable and, to the extent allowed, will be paid in the ordinary  
6 course in accordance with Section 2.1 of the Plan.

7 The No Liability / Passthrough Claims are identified on Exhibit 1, in the columns headed  
8 “Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged.” Exhibit 1 also specifically identifies in the “Basis for  
9 Objection” that the No Liability / Passthrough Claims are classified as one of the following:

10 (1) Damage Not Caused by PG&E. These are Proofs of Claim where the Reorganized  
11 Debtors, after reviewing their books and records and any information submitted in connection with the  
12 Proofs of Claim, have determined that the harm or damage described in the Proof of Claim was not  
13 caused by the Debtors. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors have determined they are not liable for  
14 these amounts and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be expunged.

15 (2) No Liability Claims. These Proofs of Claims provide no supporting documentation to  
16 enable the Reorganized Debtors to understand the purported basis for liability and, after reviewing their  
17 books and records, the Reorganized Debtors are unable to determine any liability or basis for the asserted  
18 Claims. In all cases, the Reorganized Debtors’ Third-Party Claims Team contacted the Claimant either  
19 by telephone or in writing for more information, and did not receive a response.

20 (3) Post-Petition Claims. These Proofs of Claim assert Claims for amounts that arose after  
21 the Petition Date and, thus, do not represent prepetition liabilities of the Debtors subject to payment  
22 through the chapter 11 claims process. Pursuant to Section 2.1 of the Plan, any Allowed Administrative  
23 Expense Claim that is not due and payable prior to the Effective Date, shall be paid by the Debtors or  
24 the Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice  
25 and in accordance with the terms and subject to the conditions of any orders or agreements governing,  
26 instruments evidencing, or other documents establishing, such liabilities. Accordingly, there is nothing  
27 for this Court to resolve with respect to any such Claims and any Proofs of Claim filed on account of  
28

1 such Claims should be expunged and the surviving claims may be asserted and resolved in the ordinary  
2 course of business.

3       (4)     Rule 14 Claims. Certain of the Proofs of Claim assert amounts for food losses, business  
4 losses, or wage losses. Pursuant to Pacific Gas and Electric Company Tariff Electric Rule 14 (“**Rule**  
5 **14**”), approved by the California Public Utilities Commission, PG&E is required to exercise “reasonable  
6 diligence and care to furnish and deliver a continuous and sufficient supply of electric energy to the  
7 customer, but does not guarantee continuity or sufficiency of supply.” Rule 14 goes on to provide that  
8 “PG&E will not be liable for interruption or shortage or insufficiency of supply, or any loss or damage  
9 of any kind of character occasioned thereby, if same is caused by inevitable accident, act of God, fire,  
10 strikes, riots, war, or any other cause except that arising from its failure to exercise reasonable diligence.”  
11 Accordingly, the Rule 14 Claims assert amounts for which the Debtors are not liable and the  
12 corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

13       A significant subset of the Rule 14 Claims assert Claims for food losses, business losses, or wage  
14 losses arising out of or relating to the Public Safety Power Shutoff (“**PSPS**”) program, which aims to  
15 reduce wildfire risks. The PSPS program, which began in 2018, involves proactive power shutoffs  
16 during extreme weather events (*e.g.*, strong winds, very low humidity levels, critically dry vegetation,  
17 and other on-the-ground, real-time observations from field crews). In preparation for this safety  
18 measure, the Utility contacts customers directly and provides early warning notification, when and where  
19 possible, via automated calls, texts and emails. Under Rule 14, the Debtors are not liable for any losses  
20 arising out of PSPS-related outages. Accordingly, the PSPS Claims assert amounts for which the  
21 Debtors are not liable and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

22       The CPUC requires that all Claims be treated equally. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors  
23 are not permitted to pay any Rule 14 Claims without being required to pay *all* Rule 14 Claims. The same  
24 is true for the Rule 16 Claims and Rule 2 Claims described below.

25       (5)     Rule 16 Claims. Certain of the Proofs of Claim assert amounts for removal/trimming of  
26 trees, overgrown vegetation impeding access to the Debtors’ facilities, and/or the consequences of  
27 opening locked gates. Pursuant to Pacific Gas and Electric Company Tariff Electric Rule 16 (“**Rule**  
28 **16**”), PG&E shall “at all times have the right to enter and leave Applicant’s Premises for any purpose

1 connected with the furnishing of electric service (meter reading, inspection, testing, routine repairs,  
2 replacement, maintenance, vegetation management, emergency work, etc.) and the exercise of any and  
3 all rights secured to it by law, or under PG&E’s tariff schedules.” Accordingly, the Rule 16 Claims  
4 assert amounts for which the Debtors are not liable and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be  
5 disallowed and expunged.

6       (6)     Rule 2 Claims. Pacific Gas and Electric Company Tariff Electric Rule 2 (“**Rule 2**”) sets  
7 forth the general contours of the voltage provided to consumers. Rule 2(C)(1)(b) provides that voltage  
8 may be outside the limits specified when the variations (i) arise from the temporary action of the  
9 elements; (ii) are infrequent momentary fluctuations of a short duration; (iii) arise from service  
10 interruptions; (iv) arise from temporary separation of parts of the system from the main system; or (v)  
11 are from causes beyond the control of the Utility. Rule 2(E) states that customers are responsible for  
12 furnishing, installing, inspecting, and keeping in good and safe condition, at their own risk and expense,  
13 all protective devices required to protect customers’ property. Rule 2(E) further provides that “PG&E  
14 shall not be responsible for any loss or damage occasioned or caused by the negligence, or wrongful act  
15 of the applicant or of any of his agents, employees or licensees in omitting, installing, maintaining, using,  
16 operating or interfering with any such protective devices.” Certain of the Proof of Claim assert amounts  
17 for damages caused to electronics, appliances, pumps, and HVAC, which under Rule 2, the Debtors are  
18 not liable and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

19       (7)     Statute of Limitations Passed. Certain of the Proofs of Claim assert Claims for which  
20 California’s three-year statute of limitations with respect to property damage (*see* Cal. Code Civ. P. §  
21 338(c)(1)) expired before the Petition Date. Accordingly, the Debtors are not liable for such Claims and  
22 the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

#### 23       IV.     ARGUMENT

##### 24       A.     **The No Liability / Passthrough Claims Should be Disallowed and                   Expunged**

25       The Omnibus Objections Procedures Order supplemented Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) to permit  
26 the Reorganized Debtors to file objections to more than one claim if “[t]he claims seek recovery of  
27 amounts for which the Debtors are not liable.” Omnibus Objections Procedures Order, ¶2(C)(iii). The  
28 Reorganized Debtors and their professionals have reviewed each of the No Liability / Passthrough

1 Claims identified on **Exhibit 1** and have determined that each such Claim either (i) asserts a Claim  
2 seeking payment of amounts for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable and/or the Reorganized  
3 Debtors are unable to determine any liability or basis for the asserted Claims, or (ii) seeks payment of  
4 amounts that arose after the Petition Date that are not due and payable and, to the extent allowed, will  
5 be paid in the ordinary course in accordance with Section 2.1 of the Plan. If not disallowed and expunged,  
6 No Liability / Passthrough Claims potentially could allow the applicable Claimants to receive recoveries  
7 to which they are not entitled. Each of the Claimants is listed alphabetically, and the claim number and  
8 amount are identified in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e). Furthermore, in accordance with  
9 the Omnibus Objections Procedures Order, the Reorganized Debtors have sent individualized notices to  
10 the holders of each of the No Liability / Passthrough Claims.

11       **B. The Claimants Bear the Burden of Proof**

12       A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C.  
13 § 502(a).<sup>2</sup> Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, however, provides in relevant part that a claim  
14 may not be allowed if “such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under  
15 any agreement or applicable law.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Once the objector raises “facts tending to  
16 defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves,”  
17 *Wright v. Holm (In re Holm)*, 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991), quoting 3 L. King, *Collier on*  
18 *Bankruptcy* § 502.02 at 502-22 (15th ed. 1991), then “the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the  
19 validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence,” *Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage*  
20 (*In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage*) 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995) (quoting *In re*  
21 *Allegheny Int'l, Inc.*, 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)), *aff'd without opinion* 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir.  
22 1996). “[T]he ultimate burden of persuasion is always on the claimant.” *Holm*, 931 F.2d at 623 (quoting  
23 King, *Collier on Bankruptcy*); see also *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039  
24 (9th Cir. 2000), *Spencer v. Pugh (In re Pugh)*, 157 B.R. 898, 901 (BAP 9th Cir. 1993); *In re Fidelity*

25       

---

26       <sup>2</sup> Under Section 7.1 of the Plan, the Reorganized Debtors have until 180 days after the Plan’s Effective  
27 Date to bring objections to Claims, which deadline may be extended by the Court. On October 27,  
28 2020, the Reorganized Debtors filed the *Motion for Entry of an Order Extending Deadline for the*  
*Reorganized Debtors to Object to Claims* [Docket No. 9355], which seeks to extend this deadline 180  
days, through and including June 26, 2021, without prejudice to the right of the Reorganized Debtors  
seek further extensions thereof.

1     *Holding Co.*, 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988).

2                 As set forth above, the Reorganized Debtors submit that the No Liability / Passthrough Claims  
3 do not represent a current right to payment and, therefore, should be disallowed and expunged in their  
4 entirety.<sup>3</sup> If any Claimant believes that a No Liability / Passthrough Claim is valid or otherwise  
5 represents a current right to payment, it must present affirmative evidence demonstrating the validity of  
6 that Claim.

7     **V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

8                 The Reorganized Debtors hereby reserve the right to object, as applicable, in the future to any of  
9 the Proofs of Claim listed in this Objection on any ground, and to amend, modify, or supplement this  
10 Objection to the extent an objection to a claim is not granted, and to file other objections to any proofs  
11 of claims filed in these cases, including, without limitation, objections as to the amounts asserted therein,  
12 or any other claims (filed or not) against the Debtors, regardless of whether such claims are subject to  
13 this Objection. A separate notice and hearing will be scheduled for any such objections. Should the  
14 grounds of objection specified herein be overruled or withdrawn, wholly or in part, the Reorganized  
15 Debtors reserve the right to object to the No Liability / Passthrough Claims on any other grounds that  
16 the Reorganized Debtors may discover or deem appropriate.

17     **VI. NOTICE**

18                 Notice of this Objection will be provided to (i) holders of the No Liability / Passthrough Claims;  
19 (ii) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi,  
20 Esq.); (iii) counsel to the Creditors Committee; (iv) counsel to Tort Claimants Committee; (v) all counsel  
21 and parties receiving electronic notice through the Court's electronic case filing system; and (vi) those  
22 persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant to  
23 Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required.  
24 No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Reorganized Debtors to this or  
25 any other Court.

26  
27                 

---

<sup>3</sup> As noted above, Claims objected to on the basis that they arose after the Petition Date will be  
28 expunged from the claims register but not disallowed; such Claims may still be asserted and, if valid,  
will be satisfied in the ordinary course of business pursuant to Section 2.1 of the Plan.

1 WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting (i) the  
2 relief requested herein as a sound exercise of the Reorganized Debtors' business judgment and in the  
3 best interests of their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all other parties interests, and (ii) such other  
4 and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

5 Dated: November 5, 2020

**KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP**

6 By: /s/ Peter J. Benvenutti

7 Peter J. Benvenutti

8 *Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors*

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28